**BIO00066M Research Skills - Literature Review Mark and Feedback Form**

| **Student name: NATASHA HOPKINS** | **Project Director: BOB WHITE** |
| --- | --- |
| **Mark:** 65 | |

| **What was done well:**  Very well organized.  Focused throughout, with minimal digression.  Good depth of literature coverage, with strong emphasis on primary literature.  D Arguments are well supported.  Some evidence of original or critical thinking. |
| --- |
| A well-structured literature review.  Good use of peer-reviewed Journal articles |

| **Suggestions for improvement:**  Check more carefully for errors, especially in abstract, which is the most conspicuous section.  Pay attention to sentence structure. Do not punctuate subclauses as if they are separate sentences. E.g. “Providing promise for the androgen receptor and FOXA1 as a target in triple-negative cancers.”  Figures can help communicate information.  I would also have liked to have seen some Figures to complement the text.  P2- add ‘Breast Cancer’ after ‘FOXA1 recruits growth inhibitors in ER+’  P3- You could maybe use just the ER, PR and AR abbreviations from now on in your review as you have defined these abbreviations earlier on in your Background section.  P4- add α, β and γ after HNF-3?  P4- add (2006) after Wolf et al.  P4-You could maybe include a Figure/Figures showing the structure of the winged-helix domain or/and the mechanism of FOXA1 binding  P5-add α after HNF-2?  P6- change ‘it’s’ to ‘its’  P7- typo change ‘representsing’ to ‘representing’  P7- Define ‘triple-negative cancers’ for your readers |
| --- |